







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/864,723	05/23/2001	Natasha P. Hixon	4842US	2791	
24247	7590 10/08/2002				
TRASK BRI		EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 255 SALT LAKE	50 CITY, UT 84110		CHOI, STEPHEN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3724		
		DATE MAILED: 10/08/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			_ (	SMI
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
· }	e Action Summary	09/864,723	HIXON ET AL.	
Office Action Su		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Stephen Choi	3724	_
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF THI - Extensions of time may be available ur after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If the period for reply specified above is	S COMMUNICATIOn der the provisions of 37 CFI date of this communication less than thirty (30) days, as the maximum statutory peed period for reply will, by stant three months after the maximum statut or the statut	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . I reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) <u></u> ☐	This action is non-final.		
		owance except for formal ma der <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the mer D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pe	nding in the applica	ition.		
4a) Of the above claim(	s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are a	llowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are re	ejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are o	bjected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subje Application Papers	ect to restriction and	or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is obje	cted to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)∐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	o the drawing(s) be held in abey	·	
11)☐ The proposed drawing o			disapproved by the Examiner.	
	-	n reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration i	s objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is ma		eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)[	None of:			
1. Certified copies of	of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of	of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No	
application from	om the International	oriority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). list of the certified copies not	received in this National Stage	
14) Acknowledgment is made		·		cation).
<u> </u>		provisional application has b		,
15) Acknowledgment is mad				
Attachment(s)				
1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-82)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s	awing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•

Application/Control Number: 09/864,723

Art Unit: 3724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Group I. Claims 1 and 5-11 are, drawn to a die cutting system containing at least one die comprising at least one protruding cutting edge, classified in class 83, subclass 651.
  - Group II. Claims 1 and 2-4 are, drawn to a die cutting system containing a biasing element, classified in class 83, subclass 523.
  - Group III. Claims 12-18 are, drawn to an apparatus for forcing a die through a sheet material containing a biasing element, classified in class 83, subclass 564.
  - Group IV. Claims 19-23 are, drawn to a method of forming a pattern, classified in class 83, subclass 13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of group II and groups I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if there is evidence that the combination does not rely on the subcombination for patentability. See MPEP 806.05 (c), example 3. For example, group I is evidence that the combination of group II does not rely on the biasing element of group III for patentability, and conversely, group III is evidence that the combination of group II does not rely on the at least one die comprising at least one protruding cutting edge of group I for patentability.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,723

Art Unit: 3724

Ì

3. Inventions of groups I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention group I has separate utility such as a die cutting system not requiring the biasing element set forth in group III. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 4. Inventions groups I-III and group IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as an apparatus not requiring a die retaining element associated with a die receiving surface as set forth in groups I-III.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - The embodiment shown on Figure 3A.

Species B - The embodiment shown on Figure 3B.

Species C - The embodiment shown on Figure 3C.

Species D - The embodiment shown on Figure 8.

Art Unit: 3724

Ţ

Species E - The embodiment shown on Figure 9.

Species F - The embodiment shown on Figure 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, some claims may be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,723

Art Unit: 3724

j

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 5

- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Choi whose telephone number is 703-306-4523. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday between 9am and 5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

sc October 2, 2002

> Alpho Choi Stephen Choi Patent Examiner

A.U. 3724